

## Micro-606 v.2025

### Guidelines for Student Presentations on Sept 18<sup>th</sup> 2025, AAC 106

**Form groups of 2 (or groups of 1).**

**Sign up by 28.08.2025 at:**

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1-kOCZkxo7IAtFkz2opnx8TlqypNFegoDnu1JUy529r0/edit?usp=sharing>

**Your task:** *Analyze how scaling laws influence the design, performance, and limitations of one specific MEMS device (e.g., a resonator, accelerometer, micropump, RF switch, micromirror, etc.). Demonstrate an understanding of physical scaling effects, supported by a quantitative analysis, i.e. do some calculations. You may make assumptions if needed, please justify them.*

### **Time Limit & Format on 18.09.2025**

- **Duration:** 16 minute talk + 4 minutes Q&A
- Slides must be clear, uncluttered, and emphasize scaling.

Your tasks:

#### **1. Select a MEMS Device**

Choose a *well-defined* MEMS device. Say what model or type. Examples include:

- Accelerometer
- RF MEMS switch
- Micromirror
- Resonator or filter
- Microphone
- Gyroscope
- Ink-jet print head
- Etc.

If unsure, contact H Shea.

#### **2. Analyze Key Scaling Relationships**

Explain how miniaturization affects device physics and performance. For example, include metrics such as, depending on device:

- Force, displacement, and stiffness scaling
- Thermal time constants

- Electrical and mechanical resonance frequency
- Power consumption and actuation efficiency
- Noise, sensitivity, and signal-to-noise ratio

### **3. Include Quantitative Analysis**

- Show how performance metrics change with linear dimension (L) scaling (e.g., L, L<sup>2</sup>, L<sup>-3</sup>).
- Use device dimensions and materials for scaling estimates where possible. If not possible, choose reasonable values

### **4. Discuss Design Implications**

- What are the consequences of scaling for manufacturability, sensitivity, robustness, power use, etc.?
- How does scaling impose limits or offer benefits?